Summary		
Filing Year 2021	Form Name E2.1.4.2.10	RRR Filing No 31880
Company	Licence Type	Status
	Electricity Distributor	Submitted
Submitted On July 22, 2021 Attachment:	Submitter Name Deanne Kulchyski; +1 (807) 737-3800; dkulchyski@siouxlookouthydro.com	Report Version 0
Prior to the Major Event		
1. Did the distributor have any prior warning that the N	Major Event would occur? No	
Additional Comments Sioux Lookout experienced a severe storm on June	e 23, 2021.	
Comments on 4. Staff is trained to respond to storr Agreements when deemed necessary.	ns. Management is responsible for implementing the	e Mutual Assistance
2. If the distributor did have prior warning, did the disextra employees on duty or on standby prior to the N	-	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARRANGEMENTS, OR	EXPLAIN WHY EXTRA EMPLOYEES WERE NOT A	ARRANGED
3. If the distributor did have prior warning, did the dia announcements to the public warning of possible out		
4. Did the distributor train its staff on the response p	lans to prepare for this type of Major Event ?	Yes
During the Major Event		
1. Please identify the main contributing Cause of the Electricity Reporting and Record Keeping Requirem		the
Adverse Weather-Wind		
Please provide a brief description of the event (i.e. w On June 23, 2021 Sioux Lookout experienced a sew gauged at approximately 111 km/hour and the Muni- damage to all areas of our service territory and resu lines.	vere storm that environment Canada classified as a c cipality declared a state of emergency. The storm ca	downburst. Winds were aused significant
2. Was the IEEE Standard 1366* used to identify the	e scope of the Major Event? If not, why not?	

Yes, used IEEE Standard 1366 *The OEB preferred option

3. When did the Major Event begin
6/23/202107:49 PM

4. Did the distributor issue any estimated times of restoration (ETR) to the public during the Major Event? If so, through what channels? Yes	
If yes, please provide a brief description of the information. If no, please explain Facebook was used to inform customers during the entire restoration process.	
5. HOW MANY CUSTOMERS WERE INTERRUPTED DURING THE MAJOR EVENT?	
2,883 CUSTOMERS	
WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE DISTRIBUTOR'S TOTAL CUSTOMER BASE DID THE INTERRUPTED CUSTOMERS REPRESENT? 100.00 %	
6. HOW MANY HOURS DID IT TAKE TO RESTORE 90% OF THE CUSTOMERS WHO WERE INTERRUPTED? 41 HOURS	
Additional Comments	
7. Were there any outages associated with Loss of Supply during the Major Event? No	
If yes, please report on the duration and frequency of the Loss of Supply outages.	
8. In responding to the Major Event, did the distributor utilize assistance through a third party mutual assistance agreement with other utilities? No	
If yes, please provide the name of the utilities who provided the assistance? Synergy North Hydro One	
9. Did the distributor run out of any needed equipment or materials during the Major Event?	No
If yes, please describe the shortages.	

After the Major Event

1. What steps, if any, are being taken to be prepared for or mitigate such Major Events in the future (i.e., staff training, process improvements, system upgrades)?

Process improvements

Additional Comments

Sioux Lookout Hydro will investigate any process improvements that could be implemented as a result of this experience based on debriefing meetings with operations staff. This was the first time the Northwest has utilized its Mutual Assistance Agreement.